

**APPLICATION FOR UNITED STATES LETTERS PATENT  
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**Attorney Docket No. 003248.00041**

**TITLE: COMPOSITIONS AND METHODS FOR BIOMEDICAL  
APPLICATIONS**

**INVENTORS:** K. Ranji Vaidyanathan  
2301 N. Sarnoff Drive  
Tucson, Arizona 85715  
(Citizen of India)

Joseph Walish  
8 Sotol Loop  
Miami, Arizona 85539  
(Citizen of the United States)

Paul D. Calvert  
1141 E. Deer Canyon Road  
Tucson, Arizona 85718  
(Citizen of the United States)

003248.00041

The present invention was made with U.S. Government support under STTR grant numbers N00014-99-0262 and N00014-00-C-0329 awarded by the Office of Naval Research Small Technology Transfer Research (STTR) program. Accordingly, the Government may have certain rights in the invention described and claimed herein.

#### **CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

This application is based on, and claims the benefit of, co-pending United States Provisional Application Serial No. 60/259,348, filed on January 2, 2001, and entitled "Biocompatible and Osteoinductive Biomedical Implants for Load Bearing Tissue Engineering Applications" and co-pending United States Provisional Application Serial No. 60/337,577 filed on November 5, 2001, and entitled "Freeform Fabrication of Two-Step Biodegradable Porous Bone Prostheses."

#### **FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention relates to biocompatible polymer-ceramic compositions and structures for use as biomedical implants for bone replacement and bone substitution treatment, particularly with load-bearing applications such as spinal implants.

#### **BACKGROUND OF INVENTION**

As the life expectancy of human beings has increased, so has the need for repair and replacement of bone structures within a body. Implants made from metals, such as titanium alloys, are known. Although such implants are strong, their use presents many problems. Typically, such implants must be fixed into the surrounding bone. Because the frictional properties of the metal differ from those of human bone, the bone will eventually wear away at points of contact with the metal implant, causing a debilitating condition called osteolysis. Additionally, the body may reject the implant. As a result of

these and other circumstances, only about 80% of orthopedic replacements remain viable after ten years, and the remaining 20% of implants must be removed within ten years or less. The need for additional surgery to replace an implant is obviously undesirable and may have significant impact on the health and well being of the person.

5           Resorbable bone substitute products for orthopedic and other reconstructive surgical applications are not sufficiently strong or long lasting. These orthopedic prostheses have low tensile or compressive strength and tend to degrade over time, although they may be resorbed and ultimately replaced in many instances by new bone growth. However, most resorbable materials become too weak to carry any load before  
10       significant amounts of bone have grown to replace the eroded prosthesis.

          For example, compositions of calcium phosphate and cements have been described as "resorbable" and have attracted attention as alternative bone repair materials. Generally, these are compounds comprising or derived from tricalcium phosphate, tetracalcium phosphate or hydroxyapatite, such as disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,997,624  
15       and Re. 33,221. At best these materials may be considered only weakly resorbable. Such compositions are known to have lengthy and somewhat unpredictable resorption profiles, generally requiring in excess of one year for resorption. Furthermore, the compositions tend to be brittle, difficult to form into implant devices and remain in the body host longer than desired.

20           The poly alpha-hydroxy acids are a class of synthetic aliphatic polyesters, the main polymers of which are polylactide (alternatively referred to as polylactic acid) and polyglycolide (alternatively referred to as polyglycolic acid). These materials have been investigated for use in a variety of implant systems for soft tissue and osseous repair in

medicine and dentistry, since they tend to exhibit very good biocompatibility and are biodegradable in vivo.

U.S. Patent No. 5,492,697 discloses a biodegradable implant as a substitute for bone graft material. In a preferred embodiment the implant is formed from a biodegradable polymer such as a polylactic acid-polyglycolic acid copolymer by a gel casting technique followed by solvent extraction to precipitate the implant as a microporous solid.

U.S. Patent No. 6,255,359 discloses polymer compositions having a desired degree of permeability and/or porosity across a region or cross-section of an article made from the compositions or a desired variation in permeability and/or porosity across a region or cross-section of the article. The compositions include polylactic acid and polyglycolic acid.

There thus remains a need for effective, low-cost biocompatible compositions, which are osteoconductive and/or osteoinductive, for use as bone substitutes and/or replacements that will provide a support framework of sufficient strength for the surrounding bone for an extended period of time.

### **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention provides compositions and methods for making structures that are suitable for use as medical implants in bone reconstruction and replacement treatment. In important embodiments, the compositions and structures include a biocompatible, polymeric material or blend of sufficient strength and durability to provide mechanical support of surrounding bone structure for a desired and adequate length of time. The structures are, however, porous, non-permanent and degrade at a controlled

rate, allowing bone cells to grow into them until they are substantially replaced by natural bone and tissue. The compositions and structures may include a coating or cells of growth-enhancing composition for stimulating and enhancing bone growth and vascularization at the implant site. The growth-enhancing composition preferably  
5 degrades at a faster rate than the implant structure so as to provide nutritional and other components that will initially stimulate growth of bone and tissue at the site of the implant.

The compositions and structures can be used in the treatment of fractured bones and joints and in the treatment of degenerative diseases, such as continuous gradual joint  
10 damage or Chondromalacia Patella, which is a type of degenerative disease of the kneecap. Other possible applications for the compositions and structures of the present invention include treatment of discogenic disease where a surgical graft is placed in spinal disc space to make it stiffer and help cause fusion and relieve associated pain, metastatic tumor treatment where a short or long segment fusion is surgically performed  
15 after removing a large tumor, and infection treatment where a long or short segment fusion is surgically performed and a synthetic graft is used to support the fusion and limit the spread of infection.

In representative embodiments of the invention, the compositions include polymer and/or ceramic composite materials, thermoplastic materials, co-polymers and  
20 combinations thereof. More particularly, such materials include polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA), polybutylene-terephthalate (PBT), and polyethyletherketone (PEEK), polyethyleneterephthalate (PET), high molecular weight polyethylene with hydrogel filling and combinations thereof. The biocompatible polymer compositions include

polymers, such as polycaprolactone and polylactic-polyglycolic acid, and a calcium source, such as calcium phosphate or calcium sulfate. The growth enhancing compositions also can include additives, such as growth factors, including transforming growth factor  $\beta$  (TGF $\beta$ ). Preferably, the biocompatible polymer is polycaprolactone and the calcium source is tricalcium phosphate.

The invention also provides methods of making the implants. The compositions are formed into the implant structures using ribbon or filament deposition, or a rapid prototyping process. In comparison to conventional prosthesis manufacturing methods, such as investment casting and machining, rapid prototyping processes allow implants of complex shape, including a porous construction, to be custom-made quickly and relatively easily. The processes allow the implants to be prepared on site and custom fitted to the patient's injury. Such processes also can allow the patient's own osteoblasts and bone morphogenic proteins to be incorporated into the implants, thus enhancing the ability of the implant to readily bond with the trauma zone. The implants can be made in a layer-wise fashion by the sequential stacking of discrete raw material layers upon each other until the desired body part is formed. Each layer has a geometry corresponding to a cross section of the desired implant.

Thus, it is an object of the present invention to provide compositions and materials that are biocompatible and capable of stimulating regrowth of natural bone and tissue, as well as bioresorbable and replaceable by natural bone and tissue.

A further object of the invention is to provide a biomedical implant device that will stimulate the regrowth of natural bone and tissue and provide load-bearing support at

the site of implantation until such time as the natural bone and tissue is capable of providing such support.

Yet another object of the invention is to provide methods of making and using the compositions and materials embodying the characteristics set forth above.

5        These and other objects, advantages, and features of the invention are set forth in the detailed description that follows.

### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

FIG. 1 is a schematic of a cross-sectional end view of a structure in accordance with the present invention;

10        FIG. 2 is a schematic of a portion of a second structure in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 3 is a schematic of a portion of a third structure in accordance with the present invention;

15        FIG. 4 is a block diagram illustrating the steps in the manufacture and use of compositions and materials for repair and replacement of tissue in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 5 is a graph illustrating the relationship between porosity and road width in making the structures of the present invention;

20        FIG. 6 is a graph illustrating the degradation of a coating composition in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 7 is a graph illustrating load versus displacement for a structure in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 8 is a graph illustrating load versus displacement for another structure in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 9 is a graph illustrating calcium release from a coating composition in accordance with the present invention;

5        FIG. 10 is a graph illustrating cell growth on a structure in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 11 is a photomicrograph showing cell growth on a structure in accordance with the present invention; and

10        FIG. 12 is a graph illustrating alkaline phosphatase activity for a structure in accordance with the present invention.

### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention relates to compositions and structures formed from such compositions having osteoconductive and/or osteoinductive characteristics thereby enabling use as biomedical implants for bone substitution and replacement in orthopedic and other reconstructive surgical applications. The biocompatible implants include  
15        polymer, ceramic or thermoplastic materials and combinations thereof capable of providing a strong support framework within the body, even under load-bearing conditions, for an extended period of time. In addition to providing mechanical support for the surrounding bone structure, the implants also protect natural growing bone as the  
20        natural bone and tissue begins to grow at the site of implantation. The implant structures have a predetermined pore size and porosity effective for promoting natural bone growth around the exterior of the implants, as well as within the pore space of the implant.



Preferably, the implant structures of the present invention are non-permanent but provide a strong load-bearing support structure at the site of surgical implantation for a time sufficient to allow the surrounding bone to grow at the site and become load bearing. Thus, the implant structures are resorbed, degraded, eroded or otherwise dissolved and diminished in size over a period of time so that they eventually will be at least substantially replaced by natural bone structure and tissue. As the size of the structures decreases, new growth of the person's natural bone gradually replaces the structures and takes over the load-bearing functions of the implant structures. Degradation of the implant structures occurs at a desired, controlled rate. Preferably, the rate of degradation is generally slow, so that the implant will remain structurally viable at the site of implantation for about one year or more.

As used herein, the term "osteoinductive" means stimulating bone growth and/or vascularization, such as by providing a source of nutrition and enhancing the rate and degree of growth for bone or tissue through the addition of growth enhancing factors such as TGF $\beta$ .

As used herein, the term "osteoconductive" means acting as a substrate for bone growth and/or vascularization, or otherwise being conducive to bone growth and/or vascularization. For example, a porous body having sufficient pore space and acting as a substrate on which natural bone can grow, as compared to a solid body, is "osteoconductive." It is understood that the rate of bone growth generally is higher for an osteoinductive material compared to an osteoconductive material.

The composition used to form an implant structure is selected to be mechanically and biologically compatible with the characteristics and functions of the site of

implantation. The composition used to form an implant structure preferably is also bioresorbable to allow natural bone to grow and replace the implant structure over time, is osteoinductive and/or osteoconductive to promote bone growth and vascularization, and is biocompatible with tissues and bone structures present at the implant site. The  
5 implant structure preferably has suitable surface chemistry for cell attachment, proliferation and differentiation and is sufficiently porous with an interconnected pore network to promote cell growth. The mechanical properties of the implant structure are similar to or compatible with those of tissues at the site of the implant to limit frictional wear.

10 The compositions for the implant structures of the present invention include thermoplastic materials, such as polymer and/or ceramic composite materials. Preferably, such materials include polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA), polybutylene-terephthalate (PBT), and polyethyletherketone (PEEK), polyethyleneterephthalate (PET), high molecular weight polyethylene with hydrogel filling and combinations thereof.  
15 Polyglycolic acid-polylactic acid copolymers and tricalcium phosphate also may be used as porous scaffold materials for enhancing bone growth, however, they alone generally do not possess the mechanical properties needed for a load-bearing bone scaffold or substrate.

The selection of biomaterials for these applications plays a key role in the design  
20 and development of tissue engineering product development. While the classical selection criterion for a safe, stable bioimplant dictated choosing a passive, “inert” material, it is now understood that any such material will generally elicit a cellular response that is not necessarily desirable. Therefore, it is now considered important in

product design to choose a biomaterial that acts to predispose tissue to repair rather than act as a replacement. Thus, biomaterials or combinations thereof used in tissue repair and replacement must not only be biocompatible but must elicit a desirable cellular response, as well. Consequently, controlling and manipulating the cellular interactions with biomaterials is a significant goal, especially for tissue engineering applications.

The implant compositions also can include processing aids, such as surfactants, compatibilizers and fillers, or other desired additives. Examples of surfactants and compatibilizers include polyethylene glycol (PEG) or methoxy polyethylene glycol (MPEG) and the like. These additives can assist in coating the polymer surfaces and can reduce the loads required to extrude them during implant structure fabrication. Examples of fillers include calcium sulfate (Franklin Fiber) and the like which are used to improve strength further by aligning themselves in the direction of extrusion. Another filler that can be used is poly-2-ethyl-2-oxazoline (PEOx), a water-soluble polymer. By dissolving the PEOx after fabrication of implant structures, an additional level of porosity may be provided to the implant materials or structure to assist in vascularization.

A biodegradable, biocompatible polymeric composition can be incorporated into or applied onto the surface of the implant structures to further enhance the rate and degree of bone growth and vascularization. Preferably, the growth-enhancing composition is applied at or near the surface of the implant structure and coats substantially the entire surface area of the implant structure, as well as fills the pore space of the structure. The growth-enhancing composition begins to degrade after the implant structure is surgically implanted, thereby releasing inorganic solutes, such as calcium and phosphate, at a controlled rate to enhance bone growth. As the natural bone grows in and

around the implant, the bone utilizes the minerals and nutritional supplements released by the growth-enhancing composition for further growth.

The growth-enhancing composition begins to dissolve, degrade or erode after implantation, and the bone begins to grow at the site. The growth-enhancing composition stimulates initial bone growth. The bone eventually replaces the composition within the pore space and on the surface of the implant structure. Preferably, the growth-enhancing composition will remain on the implant structure for up to no more than about three months. In comparison, the implant composition (e.g., PMMA, PEEK, PBT, etc.) degrades at a slower rate than the growth-enhancing composition so as to generally maintain its integrity during the initial phase of bone growth to provide load-bearing support for the surrounding bone structure and protection for the new bone and tissue. The implant composition and structure is only subsequently replaced by growing bone after the initial period when the bone begins to grow and form a bone framework at the implant site. Thus, the gradual resorption of the implant composition allows secondary bone formations to be established and bone remodeling to take place in a stable fashion by load transfer to the ingrown tissue.

The ceramic, growth-enhancing composition used to impregnate the pore space includes polymers, such as polycaprolactone or copolymers of polylactic acid and polyglycolic acid, or linear aliphatic polyesters such as polylactic acid and polyglycolic acid. The composition also includes a calcium source, such as calcium phosphate or calcium sulfate. Preferably, the polymer is polycaprolactone and the calcium source is tricalcium phosphate. The polymers and calcium source are blended together at ratios of between about 1:1 to about 1:5, preferably between about 1:1.5 to about 1:2.5, and more

preferably about 1:2, to ensure that the viscosity of the blend is between about 100-500 centipoise (CPS) at about 80-100°C. An advantage with compositions containing polycaprolactone is that the viscosity of the blend is as low as about 100-400 CPS at temperatures between about 80-100°C.

5           The composition also can include additives, such as those for enhancing bone and tissue regrowth and for improving the biocompatibility of the implant structure with the host body. The composition also can include pharmaceutical additives, such as antibiotics, analgesics, anti-inflammatories, hormones, immunosuppressants, and the like. Suitable bioactive additives include growth factors such as bone morphogenic protein  
10 (BMP), transforming growth factor (alpha, TGF $\alpha$ , and beta, TGF $\beta$ ), and platelet derived growth factor, osteogenic growth factors such as bone-derived growth factor, activin, insulin-like growth factor, basic fibroblast growth factor and combinations thereof. An additive that enhances tissue regrowth such as TGF $\beta$  is particularly preferred. The composition also can include ceramic materials such as hydroxy apatite and the like.

15           The growth-enhancing composition is applied to the outer surface of the implant structure, preferably after the structure is formed, although it may also be blended into the polymer substrate during the deposition process when the structures are formed. The growth-enhancing composition preferably is heated to enhance flowability of the composition during application to allow uniform coating. Preferably, the composition is  
20 heated to about 80°C to about 100°C. The composition is applied to the structure so that it coats the surface of the implant and substantially fills the pore space. Mylar or similar sheet-like material can be placed on the implant and a vacuum applied so that the mylar collapses around the porous structure to maintain the growth-enhancing composition as a

generally uniform coating on the surface of the structure and within the porous interior. Upon cooling, the composition solidifies and remains on the surface of the structure and within the pores.

In another embodiment, the growth-enhancing coating is applied as a water-based suspension. The polymer and calcium phosphate of the composition are blended in a water-based latex solution that also includes a surfactant. The latex solution is densified by heating. The growth-enhancing composition is then applied to the structure to form a coating on the surface and within the pore space of the structure.

The structures can be formed in any configuration, shape and size suitable for the particular implant application. For example, flat plates or discs may be desired for a particular application, while three-dimensional shapes of various geometries such as conical, frustoconical, kidney, spherical and tubular shapes may be desired for others. Generally, the structure is formed to have a desired porosity and pore size, preferably to provide a foundation that facilitates cellular organization and tissue regeneration to promote regrowth of tissue and bone at the site of implantation. A porous structure presents a favorable surface for cell attachment and growth, thereby enhancing the implant's ability to serve as a biodegradable scaffold for tissue repair or implant fixation. During formation, ribbons or filaments of the composition are extruded in layers onto a work or support surface with the ribbons or filaments generally being deposited layer upon layer parallel to the work or support surface. The individual layers of ribbon can be deposited in any desired arrangement, with adjacent layers having the ribbons arranged at various angles to the adjacent layers. For example, as illustrated in FIGS. 1-4, configurations such as off-set (FIG. 1), scaffold, where filaments of each layer are

arranged at 90° angles relative to filaments in adjacent layers, (FIG. 2), and lattice, where the filaments of each layer are arranged at angles of less than 90° relative to filaments in adjacent layers, (FIG. 3). A preferred configuration is a scaffold configuration (FIG. 2). Other shapes and configurations also are contemplated so long as the desired characteristics, including porosity and pore size, and the desired functions, including protecting growing bone and providing mechanical support to the surrounding bone structure, are achieved. Preferably, the edges of the structure are rounded to promote and stimulate bone growth at the implant.

The implant structures can be made using modified ribbon or filament deposition process, such as an extrusion freeform (EFF) process for forming three-dimensional bodies. EFF processes that may be modified for use in making the structures of the present invention are known, for example those described in U.S. Patent Nos. 5,340,433; 5,121,329; 5,932,290; 6,070,107, which are incorporated herein by reference. An EFF process can be adapted to allow for rapid fabrication of functional components from the compositions of the present invention. The process allows for the sequential deposition of multiple layers of the compositions to form complex-shaped structures as desired. Preferably, the EFF process equipment includes a fabrication modeler fitted with a high-pressure extrusion head to allow for extrusion of the highly viscous polymer systems of the present invention. Generally, a pre-formed feedrod is prepared from the composition and passed to EFF apparatus. Alternatively, the components of the composition, including polymer materials and additives, can be passed directly to the apparatus for a continuous process. The feedrod is passed through an extrusion apparatus where an extrusion head of the apparatus deposits the extruded composition onto a work or support

surface. The ribbons or filaments of extruded material generally are deposited layer upon layer onto the work or support surface in a predetermined pattern to form an object of the desired shape and size and having the desired porosity characteristics. Preferably, the extruded material is deposited so that the longitudinal axis of the extruded material is generally parallel to the work surface.

The compositions can be formed into structures of various shapes and sizes, including geometrically complex objects, having the desired pore size and porosity. Functionally graded and hierarchical composites can be co-deposited using an EFF process. Thus, using a modified EFF process, high-strength thermoplastic and thermoset polymer composite structures having enhanced mechanical properties can be extruded into the structures of the present invention for use in surgical implant applications. In addition, such a process allows for the extrusion of much higher viscosity feedstock compared to other traditional freeform fabrication techniques.

Using a modified EFF process or other suitable technique, the compositions of the present invention are formed into structures having microchannels containing polymer filler components to approximate, for example, natural bone structures. Porosity parameters (e.g., pore size, structure and distribution) of the implant structures can be selected to optimize growth of natural bone and tissue into the implant. Additionally, the implant can include more than one portion, each exhibiting one or more different parameters, for example to permit different cell ingrowth into the implant to occur at different rates. The structures have connected pore structures with pores sizes between about 100 to about 2400  $\mu\text{m}$  and a porosity level of between about 25 to about 70%. Larger and smaller pores can be formed in the structure by varying the placement of the



ribbon of implant composition. It is also contemplated that structures having pore size distributions that are mono-modal, bi-modal or polymodal are within the scope of the invention. Preferably, the structures have pore sizes between about 150 to about 400µm and a porosity level of between about 50 to about 60% by volume. As the pore size decreases, the ease and effectiveness of application of the growth-enhancing composition is reduced. The initial degree of porosity of the implant structures should be such that the implant structure is capable of substantially maintaining its structural integrity for a desired period of time, even as the implant structure begins to degrade over time.

Once formed, the implant structures can be further processed as desired to provide a finished implant structure. After application of the composition, the structures can be heated to a temperature and for a time sufficient to anneal the structures to reduce the residual stresses created during fabrication of the structure. Annealing provides structures having enhanced flexural strength and higher flexural modulus as compared to structures that are not annealed. The structures also can be cleaned to achieve a reasonably good surface smoothness. Additionally, the implant structures can tooled or otherwise shaped to obtain the final desired implant shape.

The invention provides methods of making implant structures and of repairing a tissue site using the compositions and materials described herein. According to such methods, as generally illustrated in FIG. 4, the materials of the implant compositions are processed and blended 100. The composition is formed into an implant structure 102 of desired size, shape and porosity using an automated technique, such as the extrusion freeform fabrication process described above. Once the implant structure is formed, a growth-enhancing composition is applied to the structure 104. The composition can be

dried or adhered to the implant structure as described above. The coated implant structure then is ready for final processing 106. Such processing can include annealing, cleaning and tooling. The implant structure then is surgically implanted *in vivo* at the implant site 108 and monitored 110 to ensure that the implant is accepted by the body host and that a desired rate and degree of bone and tissue regrowth is achieved.

### EXAMPLES

The following examples are intended to illustrate the present invention and should not be construed as in any way limiting or restricting the scope of the present invention.

#### Example 1

Experiments were conducted regarding the formulation of the polymer compositions for forming the implant structures. Poly-2-ethyl-2-oxazoline (PEOx) was mixed with PBT and calcium phosphate. The blending was performed at 215°C. Typical free-formable PEOx/PBT blend combinations are given in Table 1. Experiments indicated blending could be performed at 215°C even though the melting point of PBT was 250°C. Feedrods of the blend were made and extruded with the extrusion freeform fabrication ("EFF") process. However, since the blending temperature was much lower than the melting point of the PBT material, small chunks of PBT remained in the blend. Therefore, the material did not extrude effectively during freeform fabrication. Consequently, it is believed that blending at a higher temperature will provide complete blending of the PBT with the PEOx. It was noted that the addition of any acid containing groups to PEOx tended to degrade the blends by breaking down the PEOx, especially if the blends remained in the hot zone of the Brabender mixer. If the PBT blends contain

even small amounts of acid groups, the PEOx may be broken down into individual monomers which leads to an increase in the torque during mixing.

Table 1

Component	Concentration (Vol%)
Poly-2-ethyl-2-oxazoline	36
PBT	46
Calcium phosphate	10
Compatibilizer/plasticizer	8

### Example 2

Experiments were conducted to optimize the EFF operating parameters. Critical variables were: start delay, main flow, roll back, speed and road width (e.g., final width of the extruded ribbon of material upon cooling). Table 2 shows the optimized values obtained for the EFF process using a 0.0016" size nozzle tip. These values will vary slightly with other nozzle tip sizes. The extrusion temperature in each case will depend on the material being extruded.

Porous test samples of PMMA and PBT were fabricated using these process conditions. A series of 1" diameter PMMA test samples were fabricated with raster road widths varying from 1.09 mm (0.0429") to 2.54 mm (0.1"). In previous experiments, it was observed that the nominal raster road width obtained for a 0.41 mm (0.016") tip was 0.64 to 0.76 mm. That is, although the material is extruded in a ribbon of substantially circular cross-section, upon deposition the material will settle somewhat to form a ribbon having, for example, a generally oval cross-sectional shape of dimensions that are wider but shorter than the diameter of the initial ribbon. Therefore, to create a porous sample, a larger raster road width was set in the commercially available software that created the motion architecture program. For example, for a nominally 30% porosity sample, the

raster road width was proportionally increased from 0.76 mm, which would be the road width obtained for a dense sample. Thus, a raster road width of 1.09 mm (0.0429") would provide a 70% dense or 30% porous sample, based on the ratio of nominal road width and the road width set in the commercially available software. Extrusion was intentionally varied between 155 and 160°C.

**Table 2**

Parameter	Optimized EFF process value
Start delay	0.82 sec
Preflow	79
Start flow	89
Start distance	0.06"
Main flow	260%
Shut off distance	0.073"
Rollback	229
Speed	0.293"/sec
Acceleration	5

Porosity levels were calculated for each sample, based on the sample's dimensions and weight, and the density of PMMA. The average pore sizes were measured for each specimen at 50x magnification using a optical microscope. The relationship between the road width, % porosity, and the average pore size is shown in Table 3 and plotted in FIG. 5. Both the % porosity and the average pore sizes of the test pieces increased with increasing road width. Porosity levels can be manipulated by modifying the extrusion temperature. However, the effect of road width is greater than the effect of extrusion temperature on the average porosity levels.

**Table 3**

Road width (mm)	% Porosity	Average pore size (μm)
1.09	33 ± 5	838 ± 99
1.27	37 ± 4	1067 ± 37
1.52	46 ± 3	1278 ± 177
1.91	55 ± 2	1453 ± 262
2.54	65 ± 5	2053 ± 227

Initially, problems occurred with the fabrication of PBT specimens due to delamination. However, increasing the envelope temperature inside the EFF build envelope to 55°C, which is below the glass transition temperature of PBT, overcame the delamination problem. Testing indicated that porosity percentage and average pore size of porous test specimens of any material can be accurately controlled during extrusion freeforming. Therefore, a sample requiring a specific pore size and porosity percentage can be obtained based on the optimum pore size required for effective impregnation. Typically, average pore sizes of at least about 150-400 µm and porosity levels of about 50% by volume are needed for effective impregnation.

The extrusion tip size was changed to 0.0012" to obtain small pore sizes and high porosity levels. Average pore sizes of about 150-250 µm and porosity levels of about 50-60% were obtained with 0.0012" extrusion tip. Samples having 15 mm diameters were created using the 0.0012" extrusion tip. A much finer distribution of pore sizes can be obtained than otherwise possible with a 0.0016" extrusion tip size.

### Example 3

Tests were performed to determine the effectiveness of impregnating a porous specimen with an osteoinductive polymer/ceramic blend. For example, polycarbonate specimens having a series of through holes were tested. The specimens were impregnated with blend consisting of polycaprolactone (Tone-polyol 0260 from Union Carbide) and polycaprolactone mixed with 3β calcium phosphate. Polycaprolactone is a biocompatible liquid, however, other biocompatible liquids, such as copolymers of 50:50 polylactic-polyglycolic acid may also be used.

The blends were heated to about 80-100°C to enable an easy flow. The specimens were impregnated with the heated blends and covered with a thin sheet of mylar. A vacuum was applied on the specimens so that the mylar sheet collapsed around the polycarbonate sheet and held the impregnated blend in place. On cooling, the blend solidified and stayed inside the pores.

Using the above technique, several more porous test specimens were impregnated with polymer/ceramic blends. All samples were polished with sand paper, cleaned in acetone, surrounded with the polymer-calcium phosphate mixtures, covered in mylar film, and placed inside an oven at about 80-100°C. The ratio of polycaprolactone and 3  $\beta$  calcium phosphate was about 1:1. After impregnation, the samples were cleaned to achieve a reasonably good surface smoothness.

The degradation properties of these materials in the impregnated condition were studied in neutral and acidic simulated body fluids. Samples were then exposed to buffer solutions of pH 7, pH 4 and pH 3. The sample weights were monitored as a function of time over a period of approximately five weeks. No appreciable weight loss was observed on any of the polymer materials after exposure to the buffer solutions. Tables 4-6 show the weight change versus time of exposure to a pH 4 solution for samples made from lexan (a form of polycarbonate), PBT and PMMA respectively. These samples were impregnated with about 1:1 polycaprolactone:calcium phosphate polymer-ceramic mixture. The rate of weight loss can be expressed as a linear function of time. This data is also shown in FIG. 6 which shows that the weight loss after five weeks of exposure was minimal for each of the polymers. Similar results were obtained after exposure to a buffer solution with pH = 3.

**Table 4**

Time of exposure (mins)	Total Weight (g)	Remaining Polymer (g)	% remaining
0.00E+00	2.49	0.15	100.00
8.87E+02	2.493	0.153	102.00
1.45E+03	2.492	0.152	101.33
2.65E+03	2.492	0.152	101.33
4.18E+03	2.492	0.152	101.33
5.52E+03	2.491	0.151	100.67
8.17E+03	2.49	0.15	100.00
1.26E+04	2.49	0.15	100.00
1.70E+04	2.49	0.15	100.00
1.82E+04	2.489	0.149	99.33
2.04E+04	2.486	0.146	97.33
2.55E+04	2.484	0.144	96.00
3.14E+04	2.483	0.143	95.33
3.43E+04	2.472	0.132	88.00
3.43E+04	2.472	0.132	88.00
4.01E+04	2.481	0.141	94.00
4.32E+04	2.48	0.14	93.33
4.64E+04	2.48	0.14	93.33
4.93E+04	2.478	0.138	92.00

**Table 5**

Time of exposure (mins)	Total Weight (g)	Remaining Polymer (g)	% remaining
0.00E+00	2.05	1.007	100.00
8.87E+02	2.053	1.01	100.30
1.45E+03	2.05	1.007	100.00
2.65E+03	2.047	1.004	99.70
4.18E+03	2.045	1.002	99.50
5.52E+03	2.042	0.999	99.21
8.17E+03	2.041	0.998	99.11
1.26E+04	2.032	0.989	98.21
1.70E+04	2.031	0.988	98.11
1.82E+04	2.032	0.989	98.21
2.04E+04	2.031	0.988	98.11
2.55E+04	2.026	0.983	97.62
3.14E+04	2.024	0.981	97.42
3.43E+04	1.992	0.949	94.24
3.43E+04	1.992	0.949	94.24
4.01E+04	2	0.957	95.03
4.32E+04	2.005	0.962	95.53
4.64E+04	2.006	0.963	95.63
4.93E+04	2.001	0.958	95.13

**Table 6**

Time of exposure (mins)	Total Weight (g)	Remaining Polymer (g)	% remaining
0.00E+00	0.384	0.028	100.00
1.20E+03	0.386	0.03	107.14
2.73E+03	0.384	0.028	100.00
4.07E+03	0.386	0.03	107.14
6.72E+03	0.384	0.028	100.00
1.11E+04	0.384	0.028	100.00
1.56E+04	0.386	0.03	107.14
1.67E+04	0.386	0.03	107.14
1.89E+04	0.383	0.027	96.43
2.32E+04	0.386	0.03	107.14
2.91E+04	0.383	0.027	96.43
3.63E+04	0.385	0.029	103.57
3.75E+04	0.385	0.029	103.57
4.32E+04	0.384	0.028	100.00
4.64E+04	0.386	0.03	107.14
5.11E+04	0.384	0.028	100.00
5.39E+04	0.383	0.027	96.43

By increasing the acidity of the buffer solution or the calcium phosphate ratio in the biopolymer mixture, the rate of polymer degradation can be increased (Table 7 and Table 8).

An impregnated PBT sample was observed after exposure to a pH 4 buffer solution for two weeks. An impregnated PMMA sample was observed after exposure to a pH 4 solution for two weeks. No visual loss of strength or damage to these samples after exposure to the buffer solutions was indicated. Additionally, initial cell growth studies suggested that these materials degrade by hydrolytic surface erosion. However, the rate of surface erosion is highly dependent on the percentage of calcium phosphate, the acidity level of the buffer solution as well as the pore size and porosity of the specimens.



**Table 7**

Time of exposure (mins)	Total Weight (g)	Remaining Polymer (g)	% remaining
0.00E+00	2.662	0.136	100.00
4.46E+03	2.668	0.142	104.41
5.63E+03	2.666	0.14	102.94
7.79E+03	2.663	0.137	100.74
1.30E+04	2.66	0.134	98.53
1.89E+04	2.656	0.13	95.59
3.47E+04	2.658	0.132	97.06
4.05E+04	2.656	0.13	95.59
4.36E+04	2.655	0.129	94.85
4.68E+04	2.655	0.129	94.85
4.97E+04	2.654	0.128	94.12

**Table 8**

Time of exposure (mins)	Total Weight (g)	Remaining Polymer (g)	% remaining
0.00E+00	0.855	0.422	100.00
3.20E+03	0.853	0.42	99.53
6.06E+03	0.848	0.415	98.34

The mechanical strength of PBT and PMMA samples was measured in 3-pt flexure. For PBT samples, the strength and flexural modulus were  $81 \pm 6$  MPa and 4320 MPa respectively. This is higher than a 50:50 PLA:PGA, which is a conventional material, that has a strength and modulus of 50 MPa and 3000 MPa. A typical load-displacement curve for the rapid-prototyped PBT material is shown in FIG. 7. The strength and modulus of the rapid-prototyped PMMA material were  $44 \pm 1$  MPa and  $1730 \pm 49$  Mpa, which is significantly lower than for PBT. A typical load-displacement curve for the rapid-prototyped PMMA material is shown in FIG. 8.

#### Example 4

Invitro calcium dissolution studies were performed on impregnated pre-forms having constant weight and area (15 mm diameter). The samples were impregnated with the polycaprolactone-calcium phosphate composite mixtures in a 1:1 ratio. The

dissolution media was sterile filtered 0.05M TRIS (pH 7.4) and 0.05M MES (pH 5.5) containing 0.1% sodium azide.

The samples were incubated at 37°C in 20 ml of the buffer solutions. The solutions were changed every other day and both the pH and the Ca potentials were recorded. There were no morphological changes observed in the specimens measured for calcium release.

In a second study, samples were sterilized using ethylene oxide prior to the calcium release and bone cell behavior studies. The calcium release rates from the first set of specimens that were impregnated with the 1:1 PCL:TCP during 1 week were low compared to rates reported for polylactic acid. Similar studies were conducted with specimens that were impregnated with 1:2 and 1:3 PCL:TCP compositions. With higher TCP contents in the impregnation compositions, it was observed that calcium release rates were improved considerably. This is shown in FIG. 9. It can be seen that the calcium release rates were highest in the first two days. However, the calcium release rates were considerably lower with the 1:3 PCL:TCP mixtures. The calcium release rates and the cell growth were lower for these samples because of the presence of traces of the solvent used to assist the impregnation of the highly viscous 1:3 PCL:TCP mixtures. The calcium release rate results was also confirmed with the cell growth study results. Calcium release rates can be improved by using either polylactic acid or by reducing the polycaprolactone content in the mixture.

#### Example 5

*In vitro* tests for bone cell behavior were performed on flat circular implants (15 mm diameter) similar in configuration to the structure of FIG. 2. Rat post-natal calvaria

was isolated, dissected and cells digested in a collagenase solution. Cells were grown to confluence in complete DMEM under standard sterile conditions, and used after the second passage. The bone cells were isolated and cultured in the implants. During testing, about 100,000 cells were added to each type of implant and tissue culture plastic.

5 After two days, the media was changed and on the third day, an MTT assay was performed, which determines the cell growth. These results are shown in Table 9.

Table 9

Type	Number of cells
Cell culture plates	525, 000 (avg. of n=6)
100-125 $\mu$ m PBT 15 mm discs	149, 400 (n = 1)
150-200 $\mu$ m PBT 15 mm discs	198, 200 (n = 1)

The cells did not die or decrease in concentration, but rather, increased in concentration in three days. The cell concentration was more on the 150-200  $\mu$ m implant sample. The 100-125  $\mu$ m sample had more debris when the implant sample was taken

15 off the culture plates. This study indicates that PBT implants are biocompatible.

#### Example 6

Circular PBT specimens (15mm in diameter and 4mm thick) similar in configuration to the structure of FIG. 2 were tested to evaluate the growth-enhancing compositions. The specimens had an average porosity size of 150-200 $\mu$ m and were

25 coated with a continuous layer of tricalcium phosphate (TCP) in a polycaprolactone (PCL) binder. The specimens tested were (i) virgin (U), (ii) vacuum impregnated with TCP-PCL mixture (Vi), and (iii) latex coated with TCP-PCL mixture (Lc). The latex coating was a water-based suspension of TCP and PCL latex densified by heat treatment.

This latex method is solvent-free and allows the internal structure of the scaffold to be uniformly coated. Rat post-natal calvaria was isolated, dissected and cells digested in a collagenase solution. Cells were grown to confluence in complete DMEM under standard sterile conditions, and used after the second passage. Flat ethylene oxide  
5 sterilized circular implants were seeded with  $5 \times 10^5$  calvarial cells in 24 well tissue culture plates. Each of the implants and tissue culture plate surfaces (TP) were assayed in triplicate for 2, 7, and 14 days for alkaline phosphatase activity (APA) and cell growth. APA was determined by a colorimetric assay following trypsin removal of cells from the implants and wells with p-nitrophenolphosphate. Cell growth was assayed by an MTT  
10 colorimetric assay (Sigma Kit # CGD-1). Seeded and unseeded implants were viewed by SEM. The mean and standard errors were calculated and significances determined at  $p < 0.05$  by ANOVA and post-hoc multiple range tests.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of the latex coated scaffolds showed a uniform coating. SEMs of cell-seeded scaffolds demonstrated cellular in-growth. Cell  
15 morphology demonstrated enhanced affinity to the latex coated scaffolds. At 7 and 14 days *in vitro*, Lc scaffolds exhibited a higher degree of cell growth compared to the Vi scaffolds (FIG. 10), and reached values for cell growth of U scaffolds at 14 days. The SEM image of the cell growth on a Vi scaffold impregnated with a 1:2 PCL:TCP mixture is shown in Fig. 11. Cell APA was greater on Vi than Lc and U scaffolds (FIG. 12).

20 Numerous modifications and variations may be made in the techniques and structures described and illustrated herein without departing from the spirit and scope of the present invention. Thus, modifications and variations in the practice of the invention will be apparent to those skilled in the art upon consideration of the foregoing detailed

description of the invention. Although preferred embodiments have been described above and illustrated in the accompanying drawings, there is no intent to limit the scope of the invention to these or other particular embodiments. Consequently, any such modifications and variations are intended to be included within the scope of the following

5 claims.

COPIES OF THIS DOCUMENT ARE AVAILABLE FROM THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES